X



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/705,678	11/02/2000	Darrell D. Boggs	042390.P9577	6275	
75	90 06/15/2004		EXAM	INER	
Eric S Hyman Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP			HUISMAN, DAVID J		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			2183		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SL

The commence of the second	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/705,678	BOGGS ET AL.			
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David J. Huisman	2183			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice in a simely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	ed		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee u the final Office action; or (2) as set for	nder rth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) 🖾 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	j the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: see attached sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendn	nent		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place t	he		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> , as set forth in the final reject	ion.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

Applicant has amended each of the independent claims to include limitations regarding the general idea of counting a number of times an instruction has one of executed and replayed and then executing the instruction based at least in part on the count. Such an amendment will require further search and consideration by the examiner.

EDDIE CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100